Repeated exposure attenuates the behavioral response of rats to static high magnetic fields
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A B S T R A C T

Exposure of rats to high strength static magnetic fields of 7 T or above has behavioral effects such as the induction of locomotor circling, the suppression of rearing, and the acquisition of conditioned taste aversion (CTA). To determine if habituation occurs across magnetic field exposures, rats were pre-exposed two times to a 14 T static magnetic field for 30 min on two consecutive days; on the third day, rats were given access to a novel 0.125% saccharin prior to a third 30-min exposure to the 14 T magnetic field. Compared to sham-exposed rats, pre-exposed rats showed less locomotor circling and an attenuated CTA. Rearing was suppressed in all magnet-exposed groups regardless of pre-exposure, suggesting that the suppression of rearing is more sensitive than other behavioral responses to magnet exposure. Habituation was also observed when rats underwent pre-exposures at 2–3 h intervals on a single day. Components of the habituation were also long-lasting; a diminished circling response was observed when rats were exposed to magnetic field 36 days after 2 pre-exposures. To control for possible effects of unconditioned stimulus pre-exposure, rats were also tested in a similar experimental design with two injections of LiCl prior to the pairing of saccharin with a third injection of LiCl. Pre-exposure to LiCl did not attenuate the LiCl-induced CTA, suggesting that 2 pre-exposures to an unconditioned stimulus are not sufficient to explain the habituation to magnet exposure. Because the effects of magnetic field exposure are dependent on an intact vestibular apparatus, and because the vestibular system can habituate to many forms of perturbation, habituation to magnetic field exposure is consistent with mediation of magnetic field effects by the vestibular system.

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The magnitude of the static magnetic fields employed in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has steadily increased in recent years. Three to four tesla (T) MRI machines are now common, and experimental MRI machines have recently been developed with field strengths of 8 T [1] and 9.4 T [2] for human studies, and 21 T for animal studies [3]. There is increasing evidence that high static magnetic fields interact with the vestibular system of rodents and humans, although the mechanism of interaction is unknown. Surveys of workers employed around and within a 4 T MRI magnet [4] or 9.4 T MRI magnet [2] reported sensations of vertigo, nausea, and illusions of movement that have been attributed to vestibular perturbations. Subjects undergoing MRI scans at 7 T [5] or 8 T [6] reported vertigo while being moved in or out of the MRI machine, but not when positioned in the center of the machine for the duration of the scan. In a thorough psychophysical study, subjects moved slowly into a 7 T MRI experienced sensations of motion while moving but not when stationary [7]. When positioned at the homogeneous center of the magnetic field, movement of the head (e.g. head nodding or rolling) generated mild to severe vertigo [7]. Theoretical models of the mechanisms by which a static magnetic field could interact with the human vestibular system have been proposed [4,7]. Rodents also show a variety of effects after exposure to high magnetic fields that suggest vestibular perturbation [8]. Following exposure to static magnetic fields of 7 T and above, rats walk in tight head-to-tail circles, and have decreased levels of rearing [9,10]. The direction of circling is dependent on the orientation of the rat within the magnetic field, i.e., the rat circles counterclockwise if exposed with its head towards B+. If magnetic field exposure is paired with a novel taste solution (e.g. a saccharin or glucose–saccharin solution), the rats acquire a conditioned taste aversion (CTA) [9,11]. Multiple pairings produce a stronger CTA that extinguishes more slowly than single-pairing CTA [9,12]. Magnet exposure also activates neurons in the visceral and vestibular relays of the brainstem, as revealed by c-Fos induction [13]. All of these effects are consistent with the consequences of vestibular stimulation or perturbation in rats, e.g.
as induced by whole-body rotation or unilateral labyrinthectomy. Furthermore, all of the effects of magnet exposure are abolished in rats after bilateral chemical labyrinthectomy, demonstrating that the peripheral vestibular apparatus of the inner ear is necessary for magnet-induced perturbation [14].

In the course of our experiments on magnet-induced CTA, we have noticed that the acute behavioral response to magnetic field exposure diminished with repeated exposures [9,10,12]. In other words, rats were more likely to walk in circles after the first pairing of a glucose-saccharin solution (G+S) and magnetic field exposure than after the third exposure. Conversely, rats were more likely to rear (i.e. raise their forelimbs to the side of a test chamber) after the third pairing of G+S and magnetic field exposure than after the first exposure.

This diminished response could be a result of habituation by the rats to the effects of magnetic field exposure. Habituation is also consistent with magnetic field detection via the vestibular system, because the vestibular system is well known for its remarkable plasticity and adaptive properties [15,16]. Therefore, we hypothesized that magnetic field responses are also subject to habituation across repeated exposures.

To explicitly test for habituation, we evaluated the circling, rearing, and CTA induced by a single exposure of 30-min duration to a 14.1 T magnetic field in naive rats, or in rats that had experienced two prior pre-exposures to 14.1 T of 30-min duration each. All rats were videotaped for 2 min immediately after each exposure to quantify locomotor effects. In order to assess CTA acquisition, all rats were given 10-min access to 0.125% saccharin immediately prior to the final test exposure. We evaluated the effects of magnetic field pre-exposure in 3 experiments. 1) To test habituation after magnet exposure at intervals similar to our earlier studies, rats were pre-exposed at 48 h and again at 24 h before the final test exposure. 2) To assess the short-term effects of magnetic field exposure, rats were pre-exposed twice on the same day as the final test exposure. 3) To test the long-term effects of magnetic field exposure, rats were pre-exposed on two consecutive days, but received the final test exposure 36 days later. In all cases, the response of the pre-exposed rats was compared to the response of groups that had undergone parallel sham-exposures outside of the magnet.

Pre-exposure to an unconditioned stimulus (US) leading to an attenuated CTA is an alternative mechanism to habituation. For example, repeated injections of LiCl can cause attenuation of a CTA induced by subsequent pairing of a novel taste with the LiCl [17–23]. Thus, it is possible that the reduction in the magnitude of magnet-induced CTA seen after pre-exposures to the magnet is caused by a general US pre-exposure effect, rather than specific vestibular habituation. Therefore, we also evaluated in a comparable experimental design the effects of pre-treatments with LiCl on a CTA induced by the pairing of saccharin and LiCl. Because LiCl pre-treatments did not diminish a LiCl-induced CTA, we conclude that the effects of pre-exposure on magnetic field-induced CTA are a specific property of magnetic fields.

2. Methods

2.1. Animals

Adult female Sprague–Dawley rats (175–200 g; Charles River) were housed individually in polycarbonate cages in the temperature-controlled animal facility at the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory at The Florida State University. The light/dark cycle was 12:12 with lights on at 0700 h. All conditioning trials were conducted during the light cycle. The rats had free access to pelleted Purina Rat Chow 5001 and deionized-distilled water except as specified otherwise. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Florida State University.

2.2. Magnet

A 600 MHz Magnex Cryo magnet with an 89 mm bore and a fixed central field strength (B0) of 14.1 T was used; the magnet was located approximately 50 m from the animal facility. Shim magnets extending along the magnet's bore for approximately ±15 cm from the magnet core stabilized the magnetic field to give a central core field of uniform strength. The magnetic field was orientated vertically so that the positive pole was at the top of the magnet. The magnet was operated without radiofrequency pulses, so rats were exposed to a static magnetic field only.

2.3. Exposure

Rats were placed in restraint tubes for sham- or magnet-exposure. The restraint tubes were 30-cm in length with an inside diameter of 5.6 cm and an outside diameter of 6.4 cm. A plug was inserted into the rostral end of the tube and held in position by nylon screws. The inside of this rostral plug was fabricated in a cone shape to accommodate the head of the rat. A 1-cm hole was bored in this plug at the apex of this cone to allow fresh breathing air. A second plug was inserted into the caudal end of the tube and could be adjusted to restrain the movement of the rat. A hole in the center of this plug accommodated the rat's tail. When in the tube, the rat was almost completely immobilized.

Restrainted rats were transported from the animal facility to the 14.1 T magnet in approximately 30 s. Rats exposed to the magnetic field were inserted into the center of the bore of the magnet for 30 min at 14.1 T ("magnet exposure"). All rats were inserted into position in less than 10 s. As controls for the effects of restraint, some rats were "sham-exposed" by placing them in the restraint tubes and inserting them into an opaque PVC pipe placed in the same room as the magnet but beyond the 5-gauss line of the high magnetic field.

2.4. Behavioral scoring

After 30-min sham-exposure or exposure within the bore of the magnet, the rostral plug of the restraining tube was removed and each rat was released into an open polycarbonate cage (37 cm wide by 47 cm long by 20 cm high) with chip bedding. The locomotor behavior of each rat was recorded on videotape for 2 min after release into the cage (Most rats exhibited locomotor effects of the magnetic field for less than 1 min; thus, 2 min of recording captured most of the phenomena of interest.). The rat was then returned to its home cage and ad libitum water was returned. The videotapes were scored later by an observer blind to the rats' treatment. Instances of tight-circling behavior were quantified. Rats were scored as "circling" if they moved continuously around a full circle with diameter less than the length of the rat's body. Partial circles or circles interrupted by stationary pauses were not counted. Rearing behavior (both forepaws off the floor of the cage and one or both forepaws on the side of the cage) was also scored at this time.

2.5. Conditioning

Eight days prior to the conditioning day, the rats were placed on a water restriction schedule under which they received daily water access in one drinking session, during which a water bottle was presented simultaneously with an empty bottle to accustomed the rats to a 2-bottle choice. The first daily session was 3 h in length and the session times were diminished each day so that for two days before conditioning the rats received water access in a single 10-min session. On the conditioning day, rats were given access to 0.125% sodium saccharin solution (saccharin) for 10 min. Immediately following saccharin access, rats were placed in restraint tubes for sham- or magnet-exposure for 30 min as described above. After 30-min exposure, the locomotor behavior of the rats was recorded for 2-min
as above. Rats were then returned to their home cage and given ad libitum access to water overnight.

The strength of the CTA induced by the magnet was measured with daily 24-h, 2-bottle preference tests that were initiated the day after conditioning. Two bottles were placed on the cages, one containing saccharin and the other distilled water. Fluid consumption was measured every 24 h and a preference score was calculated as the ratio of saccharin to total fluid consumption:

\[
\frac{\text{saccharin intake}}{\text{water intake}}
\]

The preference tests were continued for up to 19 post-conditioning test days. The left/right position of saccharin and water bottles on the rats’ cages was reversed each day. Because saccharin access during the preference tests was not paired with any treatment, the preference tests constituted extinction trials. The CTA of an experimental group was considered extinguished when the average saccharin preference was not different from the average preference of sham-exposed rats. Short-term preference for saccharin measured during the first 24-h, 2-bottle test was analyzed as the magnitude of CTA; longer-term changes in preference across repeated 2-bottle tests were analyzed for extinction rate.

2.6. Statistics

To detect habituation, responses of pre-exposed rats were compared to the responses of rats after their first exposure to the magnetic field. Comparisons between groups on single-day data were analyzed with appropriate ANOVA’s or t-tests (Statistica). Results collected over multiple 2-bottle preference test days were analyzed by 2-way ANOVA, with groups as one factor and test days as the second factor, which consisted of repeated sampling of the same subjects across test days. Post-hoc comparisons were made with the Tukey test. Data are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean.

2.7. Experiment 1. Magnetic field exposures on consecutive days

In previous studies on CTA with multiple pairings of G+S with magnet exposure at 24-h intervals, we observed decreases in circling and increases in rearing with repeated exposures [9,10,12]. However, these observations were made in the context of experiments of varying purpose and design. In order to assess explicitly the effects of magnetic field pre-exposure on both locomotor behavior and CTA, rats were exposed within the 14.1 T magnet twice prior to the pairing of saccharin and magnet exposure. Rats were placed on a water restriction schedule as above. After their daily ration of water, rats were restrained and exposed either to the 14.1 T magnetic field (group MMM, n = 10) and sham-exposed (groups SSS and SSM, n = 8 per group). Immediately after each exposure, rats were released into the open field chamber and their locomotor behavior videotaped and scored as above. Rats were then returned to their home cages. Rats received two pre-exposures (either two magnetic field exposures or two sham-exposures), with an interval of 2–3 h (mean = 167 ± 6 min) between exposures.

Approximately 2.5 h after the second pre-exposure, all rats were given 10-min access to 0.125% saccharin. Immediately after saccharin access, the rats were placed in restraint tubes. Half of the sham-pre-exposed rats (group SSM, n = 10) and all of the magnetic field pre-exposed rats (group MMM, n = 10) were exposed to the 14.1 T magnetic field for 30 min. As sham-controls, the remaining sham-pre-exposed rats (group SSS, n = 10) were sham-exposed for 30 min. Immediately after each exposure, rats were released into the open field chamber and their locomotor behavior videotaped and scored as above. Rats were then returned to their home cages. Rats received two pre-exposures (either two magnetic field exposures or two sham-exposures), with an interval of 2–3 h (mean = 167 ± 6 min) between exposures.

2.8. Experiment 2. Short-term effect of magnetic field pre-exposures

Habituation of behavioral responses to vestibular stimulation can occur rapidly within minutes [24], and habituation after closely-spaced stimulation can be stronger than habituation induced by stimulation repeated across longer intervals [15]. In order to assess the short-term effects of magnetic field pre-exposure on magnetic field-induced locomotor behavior and CTA, rats were exposed within the 14.1 T magnet twice on the same day prior to the pairing of saccharin and magnetic field exposure. Rats were placed on a water restriction schedule as above. On the day of conditioning, rats were restrained and exposed either to the 14.1 T magnetic field (n = 10, group MMM) or sham-exposed (n = 20, groups SSS and SSM). Immediately after each exposure, rats were released into the open field chamber and their locomotor behavior videotaped and scored as above. Rats were then returned to their home cages. Rats received two pre-exposures (either two magnetic field exposures or two sham-exposures), with an interval of 2–3 h (mean = 167 ± 6 min) between exposures.

The first 24-h, 2-bottle test was analyzed as the magnitude of CTA; longer-term changes in preference across repeated 2-bottle tests were analyzed for extinction rate.

2.9. Experiment 3. Long-term effect of magnetic field pre-exposures

Habituation to vestibular stimulation such as whole-body rotation can persist for weeks or even months [25]. In order to assess the long-term effects of magnetic field pre-exposure on magnetic field-induced locomotor behavior and CTA, rats were exposed within the 14.1 T magnet twice either 1 day or 36 days prior to the pairing of saccharin and magnetic field exposure.

Two groups of rats (MMM36 and SSM36, n = 8 per group) received two pre-exposures (either two magnetic field exposures or two sham-exposures, respectively), with exposures administered once per day over two consecutive days. Rats were restrained and exposed either to the 14.1 T magnetic field or sham-exposed. Immediately after each exposure, rats were released into the open field chamber and their locomotor behavior videotaped and scored as above. Rats were then returned to their home cages.

24 h after their second pre-exposure, rats were given 10-min access to 0.125% saccharin. Immediately after saccharin access, the rats were placed in restraint tubes. Sham-pre-exposed rats in group SSM1 and magnet-pre-exposed rats in group MMM1 were exposed to the 14.1 T magnetic field for 30 min. As sham-controls, the remaining sham-pre-exposed rats in group SSS1 were sham-exposed for 30 min. Immediately after each exposure, rats were released into the open field chamber and their locomotor behavior videotaped and scored as above. Rats were then returned to their home cages and given ad libitum access to water overnight. The next day 24-h 2-bottle preference testing was initiated and continued for 11 days.

Two groups of rats (MMM1 and SSM1) were also water restricted and received pre-exposures on the two days preceding conditioning. After their daily ration of water, the rats were restrained and exposed either to the 14.1 T magnetic field (group MMM1, n = 8) and sham-exposed (group SSM1, n = 8 per group). Immediately after each exposure, rats were released into the open field chamber and their locomotor behavior videotaped and scored as above. Rats were then returned to their home cages.

Twenty-eight days after the second pre-exposure, all rats were placed on a water restriction schedule as above. Two other groups (MMM1 and SSM1) were also water restricted and received pre-exposures on the two days preceding conditioning. After their daily ration of water, the rats were restrained and exposed either to the 14.1 T magnetic field (MMM1, n = 8) and sham-exposed (SM1, n = 8). Immediately after each exposure, rats were released into the open field chamber and their locomotor behavior videotaped and scored as above. Rats were then returned to their home cages.

Rats in all 4 groups were conditioned with magnet exposure on the same calendar day. Groups MM36 and SS36 were exposed thirty-six days after their second pre-exposure; groups MM1 and SM1 were exposed one day after their second pre-exposure. (Due to constraints of timing, no sham-conditioned rats, i.e. SSS, were included.) Rats were given 10-min access to 0.125% saccharin. Immediately after saccharin access, the rats were placed in restraint tubes. All rats were exposed to the 14.1 T magnetic field for 30 min. Immediately after each exposure, rats were released into the open field chamber and
their locomotor behavior videotaped and scored as above. Rats were then returned to their home cages and given ad libitum access to water overnight. The next day 24-h 2-bottle preference testing was initiated and continued for 7 days.

2.10. Experiment 4. Effect of LiCl pre-exposures on LiCl-induced CTA

To determine if US pre-exposure attenuates CTA as measured above, we tested the effects of pre-exposure to LiCl injections to subsequent LiCl-induced CTA against saccharin. Rats were placed on a water restriction schedule as above. Immediately after their daily water access, rats in group LLL (n = 8) were injected with LiCl (0.3 M, 5 ml/kg; i.p.), while rats in groups NNL (n = 8) and NNN (n = 8) were injected with NaCl (0.15 M, 5 ml/kg; i.p.). Rats received two injections, with 48 h between each injection to allow for clearance of the lithium. To compensate for the diuretic effect of LiCl, rats were given an extra 30-min access to water late in the lights-on period of the injection day. Forty-eight hours after the second injection, rats were given 10-min access to 0.125% saccharin. Immediately after saccharin access, rats in group LLL and NNL were injected with LiCl (0.3 M, 5 ml/kg). As vehicle controls, rats in group NNN were injected with NaCl (0.15 M, 5 ml/kg; n = 8). After the injections, rats were given ad libitum access to water overnight. The day after conditioning 24-h 2-bottle preference tests were initiated as above and continued for 19 days.

3. Results

3.1. Experiment 1. Magnetic field exposures on consecutive days

On conditioning day, rats consumed an average of 6.8 ± 0.5 g of saccharin; there was no significant difference among the 3 groups. Across repeated exposures to the magnetic field, rats circled less (see Fig. 1A). Two-way ANOVAs with group (SSS, SSM, MMM) and exposure days (day 1, day 2, day 3) as factors revealed a significant interaction for circling behavior \(F(2,4) = 9.18, p < 0.001\) and rearing \(F(2,4) = 29.28, p < 0.001\). Compared to sham-exposed rats, rats showed significantly greater circling after their first exposure to the magnetic field (i.e. day 3 for group SSM and day 1 for group MMM). After their first exposure to the magnetic field, 5 out of 7 rats in group SSM circled. Circling induced by magnet exposure decreased across days for group MMM, such that circling on days 2 and 3 were significantly lower than circling on day 1. After their first exposure to the magnetic field, 7 out of 8 rats in group MMM circled. After third exposure, only 3 of 8 rats circled.

Rearing was significantly suppressed by magnet exposure in both MMM and SSM groups compared to the SSS group (see Fig. 1B). The increase in rearing across the 3 days in the MMM group was not significant (Fig. 1B right panel).

Prior exposure to the magnetic field attenuated the magnitude of CTA induced by pairing saccharin with magnet exposure (see Fig. 2). On the first day of preference testing, there was a significant effect of group \(F(2,22) = 4.6, p < 0.05\). SSM had a significantly lower preference than SSS; MMM was not different from SSS or MMM. Across the 11 days of extinction, 2-way ANOVA showed a significant effect of days \(F(10,200) = 3.3, p < 0.001\) but not group, and the interaction was not significant \(F(20,200) = 1.6, p = 0.059\). By Tukey’s, SSM was different from SSS on days 1–3, MMM was different from SSS only on day 2, and SSM was different from MMM on days 3, 6, 8, and 9.

3.2. Experiment 2. Short-term effect of magnetic field exposures

On conditioning day, rats consumed an average of 7.2 ± 0.7 g of saccharin; there was no significant difference among the 3 groups. Repeated exposure to the magnetic field attenuated magnet-induced circling and suppression of rearing (see Fig. 3). Two-way ANOVAs with group (SSS, SSM, MMM) and exposure (1, 2, or 3) as factors revealed a significant interaction for both circling \(F(2,4) = 9.95, p < 0.001\) and rearing \(F(2,4) = 12.1, p < 0.001\). MMM rats circled significantly less after their third magnetic exposure compared to SSM rats after their first magnet exposure. In group SSM, 7 out of 10 rats circled after their first exposure to the magnetic field. Similarly, in group MMM, 9 out of 10 rats after their first exposure to the magnetic field but only 2 of the 10 rats circled after their third exposure.

Rearing was significantly suppressed in all groups after each magnetic field exposure compared to sham-exposed rats. Repeated exposures to the magnetic field within a few hours had a transient effect on the magnitude of CTA (see Fig. 4A). On the first day of preference testing, there was a significant effect of group \(F(2,26) = 11.1, p < 0.0005\). SSM had a significantly lower preference than SSS and MMM; MMM was not different from SSS. Across the 13 days of extinction, however, 2-way ANOVA showed no significant effect of group or days, and no significant interaction (Fig. 4B).

3.3. Experiment 3. Long-term effect of magnetic field exposures

On conditioning day, rats consumed an average of 9.0 ± 0.5 g of saccharin; there was no significant difference among the 4 groups. Repeated exposures to the magnetic field attenuated the circling response even after 36 days (see Fig. 5A). There was no significant difference between groups SSM1 and SSM36 after any exposure, in
either circling or rearing. Therefore, groups SSM1 and SSM36 were combined into a single SSM group. Two-way ANOVA with group (SSM, MMM1, and MMM36) and exposures as factors revealed a significant interaction for circling behavior \([F(4,58) = 5.7, p < 0.001]\). Rats in SSM did not circle after sham-exposures. There was no significant difference in the number of circles induced by the first magnet exposure among the 3 groups. After their only exposure to the magnetic field, 13 out of 16 rats in group SSM circled. After their first exposure to the magnetic field, circling was induced in 8 out of 8 rats in group MMM1 and in 6 out of 8 rats in group MMM36. With repeated magnet exposures, the number of rats circling in groups MMM1 and MMM36 decreased. After their third exposure to the magnetic field, 3 out of 8 rats in group MMM1 and 5 out of 8 rats in group MMM36 circled. There was no significant difference in mean number of circles across exposures within either group, however, nor was there any significant difference between groups MMM1 and MMM36 after any exposure. After their third magnet exposure, however, groups MMM1 and MMM36 circled significantly less than did group SSM after its first magnet exposure.

Rearing was significantly suppressed in all groups after every magnet exposure compared to sham-exposure (see Fig. 5B). Two-way ANOVA with group (SSM, MMM1, and MMM36) and exposures as factors revealed a significant interaction for rearing \([F(4,58) = 17.4, p < 0.0001]\). There was no significant difference among groups in the suppression of rearing by magnet exposure.

In this experiment repeated magnet exposures had no effect on CTA. On the first day of preference testing, there was no significant difference between the 4 groups. Across the 7 days of extinction, two-way ANOVA showed a significant effect of days \([F(6,168) = 5.6, p < 0.0001]\) but not group, with no significant interaction. By Tukey’s, there was no significant difference between groups on any single day (Fig. 6).

3.4. Experiment 4. Effect of LiCl pre-exposures on LiCl-induced CTA

On conditioning day, rats consumed an average of 8.5 ± 0.6 g of saccharin. One-way ANOVA revealed a significant difference among the 3 groups \([F(2,223) = 12.7, p < 0.0005]\), such that saccharin consumption by the LLL group (5.7 ± 0.3 g) was significantly lower than the intake of the NNL group (8.7 ± 0.9 g) and NNN group (11.0 ± 0.9 g).

The pairing of saccharin and LiCl induced a robust CTA in rats regardless of pretreatment with NaCl or LiCl (see Fig. 7). On the first day of preference testing, there was a significant effect of group \([F(2,223) = 442.8, p < 0.0001]\). Both NNL and LLL had a significantly lower preference than NNN; NNL was not different from LLL. Across the 19 days of extinction, two-way ANOVA revealed a significant interaction of group and days \([F(26,378) = 2.57, p < 0.0001]\). By Tukey’s, LLL was different from NNN on days 1–16; NNL was different from NNN on days 1–10. NNL and LLL groups were not different on any day.

4. Discussion

As in previous studies, exposure to a high magnetic field-induced counterclockwise locomotor circling, suppressed rearing, and induced a CTA against saccharin paired with the magnet exposure. We found, however, that repeated exposure to the high magnetic field of a 14.1 T magnet caused a decrease in the response of rats to a subsequent
exposure within the magnet. Rats were twice exposed to 14.1 T for 30 min, and then received a novel saccharin solution paired with a third exposure to the magnetic field. Compared to the behavior of rats after their first exposure to the magnet, pre-exposed rats showed a smaller number of counterclockwise circles and a decreased magnitude of CTA. Similar results were found when magnet exposures were presented 24 h apart on consecutive days (Experiment 1) or hours apart on the same day (Experiment 2). Furthermore, when the first two magnet exposures and the third exposure to the magnet were separated by 36 days, a decrease in locomotor circling was still observed (although no decrease in CTA magnitude was observed). These results demonstrate that repeated exposure to high magnetic fields results in reduced behavioral responsiveness, perhaps due to habituation.

Exposure to high magnetic fields also reduces locomotor rearing when measured in the open field immediately after exposure. Although the average amount of rearing increased after repeated exposures, the increase in rearing was not significant in any experiments. This may reflect the sensitivity of rearing to the effects of magnetic field exposure. As with vestibular perturbation, magnetic field exposure causes transient postural changes [26]. As a manifestation of magnet field effects, a significant decrease in spontaneous rearing is seen after exposure to field strengths (4 T; [10]) and durations of exposure (1 min at 14.1 T; [91]) that do not induce locomotor circling or CTA. Because of the greater sensitivity of rearing to magnetic field exposure, habituation of rearing might only be apparent after a larger number of pre-exposures or after a lower magnitude test stimulus.

There are at least three possible explanations for the attenuation of magnet-induced circling and CTA after repeated exposures: 1) adaptation to repeated stress of restraint; 2) an effect of US pre-exposure; or 3) sensory habituation to the magnetic field.

4.1. Adaptation to stress

Adaptation to repeated stress is well known [27], as seen in decreased sympathetic nervous system and adrenocortical activation, e.g., after repeated restraint stress [28]. Thus it is possible that rats habituated to the stress associated with magnet exposure, rather than to the effects of the magnetic field per se. Both sham- and magnet-exposed rats were tightly restrained in Plexiglas tubes during the pre-exposures, however, so that any specific habituation in the magnet-exposed rats was not related to adaptation to restraint stress. Furthermore, while adaptation to repeated stress might result in a decrease responsiveness of some variables, it is unclear how stress adaptation would result in a decrease in counterclockwise circling.

Acute stress can also interact with the acquisition of CTA. An unconditioned stimulus such as LiCl can act as a stressor, i.e., the injection of LiCl causes activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, adrenocortical activation, and elevated plasma levels of ACTH and corticosterone [29,30]. Although under some conditions exogenous corticosterone can enhance CTA learning [31,32], in general exterceptive stress attenuates the magnitude of CTA. For example, a swim test [33], tail pinch [34], inescapable shock [35], or restraint stress [35] interposed between presentation of a saccharin
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In the present study, there was no evidence that LiCl pre-exposure 
attenuated a subsequent CTA induced by pairing saccharin with LiCl. 
Rats in both the magnet experiments and the LiCl experiments 
received only 2 pre-exposures, and this number of US pre-exposures 
is likely too low to attenuate the subsequent CTA. Furthermore, 
blocking by association of the pre-exposures with ambient environ-
mental cues may have been minimized, because rats were housed in 
their home cages in the time surrounding their pre-exposures and 
during presentation of the saccharin CS.

4.3. Vestibular habituation

It is well known that the vestibular system shows rapid and 
persistent habituation to variety of perturbations across a range of 
behavioral and physiological responses [16]. For example, habituation 
can be seen in humans as a decreased response in measures such as 
nystagmus, nausea and motion sickness after stimuli such as 
optokinetic stimulation [41], caloric stimulation [42], galvanic 
stimulation of the inner ear [43], whole-body rotation [44], and 
space flight [25]. In rats, habituation to whole-body rotation has been 
oberved in nystagmus [45], defecation [46], pica [47], and c-Fos 
expression in the amygdala [48].

Of particular relevance to this present study, repeated episodes of 
whole-body rotation cause habituation of rotation-induced CTA. 
Braveman [18] found that daily rotation at 60 rpm for 15 min for 
five days blocked a subsequent CTA induced by pairing saccharin 
paired with a 15 min rotation. More recently Li et al. [49] found that 
15 daily exposures to 30-min rotation in swinging cages with 
alternating acceleration and deceleration almost completely blocked a 
subsequent CTA induced by rotation.

We presume that habituation to magnetic field exposure, if it 
involves the vestibular system, occurs centrally, as is the case for most 
forms of vestibular habituation and compensation. An alternative, 
however, is that responses are dampened after repeated exposure 
because the high magnetic fields persistently alter or damage the 
 peripheral vestibular apparatus. Prolonged or intense stimuli, such as 
chronic weightlessness [50,51], rotation [52], changes in atmospheric 
pressure [53], or even a percussive auditory stimulus [54] can cause
long-lasting damage to the semicircular canals or otolith organs. In Experiment 3 we observed a long-term decrement (after 36 days) in the induction of locomotor circling, although not in CTA acquisition. Additional studies will be required to distinguish whether damage contributes to this long-term decrement.

Thus, if the magnetic field induces circling and CTA by stimulation of the vestibular system, the decreased responsiveness after repeated exposures to the magnet may reflect vestibular habituation. We have collected significant evidence that high strength static magnetic fields perturb the vestibular system. In addition to suppression of rearing, induction of locomotor circling, and acquisition of CTA [9], magnetic field exposure also causes C-Fos induction in vestibular relays of the brainstem [13]. The effects of the magnet are largest when the head of the rat is exposed to the homogenous peak of the magnetic field [55]. Chemical labyrinthectomy blocks all the observed effects of magnetic exposure, so an intact inner ear is required for full interaction with high strength magnetic fields [14]. Theoretical models [4,7] have been proposed that suggest mechanisms by which a high magnetic field might interact with the inner ear (e.g., by inducing a magnetohydrodynamic force upon the endolymph within the semicircular canals [4]). The precise substrate and mechanism for magnetic field effects is still unknown, however.

Most models of magnetic field effects posit a requirement for movement of a person or animal through the static magnetic field, in order to generate either electrical currents in endogenous conductive tissue [7,56], or to induce magnetohydrodynamic forces on conductive fluids, e.g., the endolymph of the semicircular canals [4]. In practice, patients are moved in and out of MRI machines very slowly, in order to minimize potential side effects caused by movement within the high magnetic fields [5-7].

In preliminary experiments, we have explicitly tested the role of speed of entry and exit from the 14.1 T magnet using an adjustable motor to raise the rats into the magnet's core at variable speeds. We have found that fast movement (1 m/s) entry and exit induced more post-exposure circling than slow entry and exit (0.01 m/s). However, the magnitude of CTA acquired after magnet exposure is not different between rats placed quickly or slowly in and out of the magnet. Therefore, it is possible that the acute effects of magnet exposure are due to a transient perturbation of the vestibular system during rapid movement through the field, while static exposure within the magnet has an aversive effect distinguishable from the acute effects.

Another possibility is that vestibular perturbation occurs if the rats move their heads after being raised to the core of the magnet during their 30-min exposure. This would be consistent with reports from humans that head motion within high magnetic fields generates vertigo [4,5]. Head movements of our rats are probably minimal, however, because the conical cap of the restraint tube holds the head snugly. Furthermore, we have made preliminary observations of head movements while rats are held in a pillow-like restraint tube, i.e. the rat's body is restrained in a tube with an adjustable neck collar, which leaves the head of the rat unrestrained outside of the tube. After being placed in the center of the 14.1 T magnet, rats immediately tilt their heads to one side; however, they maintain this posture throughout the 30-min exposure, with little or no subsequent movement of the head until they are removed. Thus, while the high magnetic field causes rats to tilt their heads, otherwise the rats do not display large head movements, and therefore gross head movements are not required for the magnetic field effects.

Acknowledgements

Supported by National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders Grant RO1DC4607. We thank Drs. Timothy Cross and Zhehong Gan of the United States National Magnetic Field Laboratory for providing access to the magnet.

References

[31] Dhahhar FS, McEwen BS, Spencer RL. Adaptation to prolonged or repeated stress—comparison between rat strains showing intrinsic differences in reactivity to acute stress. Neuroendocrinology 1997;65:300–8.


de Brugada I, González F, Cándido A. Repeated administration of LiCl produces an unconditioned stimulus preexposure effect in backward excitatory CTA but not habituation of the unconditioned increment in neophobia. Behav Processes 2003;60:227–33.

de Brugada I, González F, Gil M, Hall G. The role of habituation of the response to LiCl in the US-preexposure effect. Learn Behav 2005;33:363–70.


Griffith CR. The effect upon the white rat of continued bodily rotation. Am Nat 1920;54:524–34.


